

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS:

May et al.

ATTY. DOCKET NO.:

112701-029

SERIAL NO.:

09/230,623

**GROUP ART UNIT:** 

1761

FILING DATE:

June 14, 1999

**EXAMINER:** 

S. Weinstein

THCENY,

TITLE:

"MULTI-LAYERED CANNED PET FOOD"

Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE

SIR:

Please enter the following Response in the above-identified patent application:

## **REMARKS**

This Response is submitted in response to the Office Action mailed on April 3, 2001. The Office Action rejects Claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. §103 in view of a combination of a number of references. Applicants respectfully submit, for the reasons set forth below, that the rejection is not proper and therefore should be withdrawn.

Claims 1-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over *Ohba* in view of alleged Applicants' admissions, *Poppel et al.* and further in view of *Quaker Oats*, *Hillebrand, McMahon, QP Corp (Jap '174), QP Corp ('677), Errass, Henkel,* and further in view of *Waldburger* ('254), *McGonigle* ('174), *Cease* ('537), *Bliley* ('086), *Stover* ('245) and *Rogers, et al.* ('094). Accordingly, the claims now stand rejected as being obvious in view of sixteen (16) references! Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection is not proper either as a matter of law or fact.

The claimed invention provides in Claims 1-9, a canned pet food and in Claim 10 a process for producing a canned pet food. More specifically, independent Claim 1 claims a base